

# Special Adjoint Functor Theorem

Michaelmas 2011

Julia Goedecke

**Theorem.** *Suppose both  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are locally small, and that  $\mathcal{D}$  is complete and well-powered and has a coseparating set. Then a functor  $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  has a left adjoint if and only if  $G$  preserves small limits.*

*Proof.* “ $\Rightarrow$ ”:  $G$  preserves all limits that exist in  $\mathcal{D}$  as it is a right adjoint.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”: The “Limits in  $(A \downarrow G)$ ” Lemma implies that each  $(A \downarrow G)$  is complete; it also inherits local smallness from  $\mathcal{D}$ . The Remark “Monos in Functor Categories” implies that the forgetful functor  $(A \downarrow G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  preserves monos (as it creates and so preserves limits by “Limits in  $(A \downarrow G)$ ”), so the subobjects of  $(B, f)$  in  $(A \downarrow G)$  are those subobjects  $B' \twoheadrightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  for which  $f: A \rightarrow GB$  factors through  $GB' \twoheadrightarrow GB$ . So  $(A \downarrow G)$  inherits well-poweredness from  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Given a coseparating set  $\mathcal{S}$  for  $\mathcal{D}$ , the set  $\mathcal{S}' = \{(B, f) \mid B \in \mathcal{S}, f: A \rightarrow GB\}$  (i.e. taking all possible such  $f$ ) is a coseparating set for  $(A \downarrow G)$ : if we have  $(C, f_C) \xrightarrow[g]{h} (D, f_D)$  with  $g \neq h$  in  $(A \downarrow G)$ , there exists  $B \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $k: D \rightarrow B$  such that  $kg \neq kh$ . Taking  $f = (Gk)f_D$ , we have  $(B, f) \in \mathcal{S}'$  and  $kg \neq kh$  in  $(A \downarrow G)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A & & \\
 & \swarrow f_C & \downarrow f_D & \searrow f & \\
 GC & \xrightarrow[Gh]{Gg} & GD & \xrightarrow{Gk} & GB
 \end{array}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{S}'$  really is a set, as  $\mathcal{C}$  is locally small.

So we have to show that if a category  $\mathcal{A}$  is complete, locally small, well-powered and has a coseparating set, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has an initial object  $I$ .

Let  $\{B_j, j \in J\}$  be a coseparating set for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Form  $P = \prod_{j \in J} B_j$  (possible as  $\mathcal{A}$  is complete), and a set  $\{P_k \twoheadrightarrow P \mid k \in K\}$  of representatives of subobjects of  $P$  (possible as  $\mathcal{A}$  is well-powered). Form the limit of the diagram with edges all the  $P_k \twoheadrightarrow P$  for  $k \in K$  (possible as  $\mathcal{A}$  is complete).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & P_k & \\
 & \nearrow & \searrow \\
 I & \longrightarrow & P \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 & P_{k'} & \\
 & \vdots & \\
 & \vdots & \\
 & \vdots & 
 \end{array}$$

The legs  $I \rightarrow P_k$  are also monos (proof similar to “Pullbacks preserve monos”). We have

$$(I \twoheadrightarrow P) \leq (P_k \twoheadrightarrow P)$$

as subobjects, for all  $k \in K$ . So  $I \twoheadrightarrow P$  is the smallest subobject of  $P$ . We want to show that  $I$  is initial in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

First we show that there can be at most one morphism  $I \rightarrow C$  for any  $C \in \text{ob } \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose we have

$$I \xrightarrow[g]{f} C.$$

We can form the equaliser  $E \twoheadrightarrow I \xrightarrow[g]{f} C$ . Then  $E \twoheadrightarrow I \twoheadrightarrow P$  is a subobject of  $P$ , but

$I \twoheadrightarrow P$  is the smallest, so  $E \twoheadrightarrow I$  is an isomorphism, and so  $f = g$ .

Now we want to construct a morphism  $I \rightarrow C$ .

For  $C \in \text{ob } \mathcal{A}$ , form the set  $T = \{(j, f) \mid j \in J, f: C \rightarrow B_j\}$ , and the product  $Q = \prod_{(j,f)} B_j$ . We have a canonical morphism  $h: C \rightarrow Q$ , defined by composition with the projections:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{h} & Q \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi_{(j,f)} \\ & & B_j \end{array}$$

for all  $(j, f) \in T$ . This  $h$  is monic: for  $D \xrightarrow[g_2]{g_1} C \xrightarrow{h} Q$  with  $hg_1 = hg_2$ , we have  $fg_1 = fg_2$  for all  $(j, f) \in T$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow[g_2]{g_1} C & \xrightarrow{h} Q \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \pi_{(j,f)} \\ & & B_j \end{array}$$

So as the  $B_j$  form a coseparating set,  $g_1 = g_2$ .

We also have a morphism  $l: P \rightarrow Q$  defined by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{l} & Q \\ & \searrow \pi_j & \downarrow \pi_{(j,f)} \\ & & B_j \end{array}$$

Form a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{o} & C \\ m \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ P & \xrightarrow{l} & Q \end{array}$$

Here  $m$  is also monic, as pullbacks preserve monos, so  $R$  is a subobject of  $P$ . But  $I \twoheadrightarrow P$  is the smallest, so there is a morphism  $I \twoheadrightarrow R$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & R & \xrightarrow{o} & C \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow m & & \downarrow h \\ I & \twoheadrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{l} & Q \end{array}$$

which gives a morphism  $I \rightarrow R \rightarrow C$  as desired. □